

Project Advisory Group Meeting EDAC Market Rules True-up

October 28th, 2010



Amendments required to true-up the rules:

- Review from Sept 30th PAG meeting
 - Items that did not change
 - Response to Sept 30th stakeholder comments
 - Update on outstanding items
- Additional changes identified (NEW)
- Updated schedule of discussions with Project Advisory Group and Technical Panel

No change to rules discussed at Sept 30th meeting for:

- Correction of errata to MR-348 and MR-349 (terminology, DA-LWFC formula)
- Ch. 7, Sections 5.1 and 5.5 – PD scheduling
- Ch. 7, Section 12.1.1 Timing of System Status Report
- Ch. 11 Definitions: pseudo-unit, schedule of record and combined guaranteed costs
- Appendix 2.2 Technical Requirements, section 1.4.1.1
- Please refer to the Sept 30 presentation for details:

<http://www.ieso.ca/imoweb/pubs/consult/se73/se73-pag-20100930-Market-Rules-True-up.pdf>

Response to Sept 30th stakeholder comments: see details on following slides.

1. Ch. 7, section 2.2.6I: IESO obligation to determine the PSU technical data
2. Ch. 9, Section 3.5: enable recovery of CMSC revenue after midnight
3. Ch. 11 Definition: minimum generation block down time

- A stakeholder asked why this new section, which identified the IESO obligation to determinate PSU technical data, stated that the “IESO may determine” rather than “shall”.
- This proposed new section has been revised to address this question and to make the appropriate references to other sections under which the participants declares to operate as a PSU and provides the required information, as follows:

2.2.6I Subject to section 2.2.6G, the *IESO* shall determine, in accordance with the applicable *market manual*, the *pseudo-unit* technical parameters based on the *facility* specific information submitted under section 2.2.6J.

*There are additional changes to section 2.2.6, as noted under NEW items identified. These will be outlined in the amendment proposal.

- A stakeholder questioned the withholding of CMSC up to MLP under certain circumstances, but has since withdrawn that concern.
- Market Design: no CMSC revenue up to MLP for hours after midnight of the DACP dispatch day
- Rule wording will be included in the amendment proposal

Minimum Generation Block Down Time will not be changed:

- Our proposal at the Sept 30th meeting had the objective of reflecting the MGBRT definition in the “down time” definition.
- A stakeholder objected to the proposed added words “in accordance with the technical requirements of the facility”. Since this is not currently registered data, the IESO agrees that these words are not required at this time.
- It has been determined that no change to the MR-00348 definition of MGBDT is required.

Update regarding outstanding items:

1. Ch. 9, Section 3.8A.4: impact of EDAC design on RT IOG offset process
2. Impact of changes to Administrative Pricing rules (Ch. 7, section 8.4A) on DACP rules

Intertie Offer Guarantee Settlement Credit Offset

- There are scenarios where the outcome of the RT IOG Offset process under DACP will be different than from today when considering the various combinations of RT imports and exports
- Changes will be brought to the Inter-Jurisdictional Trading Standing Committee November 22nd to support the rules process
- A rule update will be provided at the November PAG meeting

- There may be impact on enhanced DACP rules related to proposed changes to Administrative Pricing rules (Ch. 7, section 8.4A)
- We continue to investigate those impacts
- An update will be provided at the November PAG meeting

To avoid duplication, these additional minor changes will be detailed in the amendment proposal rather than in this document. The amendment proposal will be posted prior to the November 10th Technical Panel meeting.

1. Ch. 7, sections 2.2.6B, 2.2.6E, 2.2.6F and 2.2.6G – submission of facility information by the registered MP
2. Ch. 7, sections 3.3.2, 3.3A6 and 3.3A7 – dispatch data submissions
3. Ch. 7, section 5.8.2 and 5.8.5 – DACP pre-dispatch scheduling
4. Ch. 9, section 3.1.10 – IESO obligation for PSU settlement

- First week of November, 2010 – post amendment proposal for the Technical Panel
- November 10, 2010 – introductory meeting with TP including: EDAC refresher, amendment submission, and review of the first working draft of the amendment proposal
- Late November, 2010 – meeting with PAG – update and discuss any comments related to the amendment proposal
- December, 2010 – present second draft of proposal to TP
- Early 2011 – Other sessions as needed leading to a Feb or March recommendation of the amendment proposal by the Technical Panel to the IESO Board of Directors
- IESO Board approval in April, 2011