

3 Responses	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
1. I was informed in advance of this session in order to properly prepare for the meeting and to arrange travel plans.				3
2. Timely, accessible and accurate materials were available to me well enough in advance.			2	1
3. I felt that I was an equal team member and that no one person, company or association had undue influence on the outcome of the session.	1		1	1
4. The Chair did a good job in keeping us on time and focused and made sure everyone's comments were recognized and captured.			2	1
5. I was able to hear/follow the presentations and conversations clearly.			2	1
6. The meeting format (conference call) worked well in generating discussion around the issues.		1	1	
7. The presenters at today's session were professional and expert in fulfilling their role.			2	1

### Suggestions / Comments

- This was well done and the presenters were well prepared. Congratulations!
- There was undue influence by the IESO due to the fact that they ran a model, asked for comments/suggestions and then gave a presentation that was lop sided showing why their selection made sense, even though many participants had comments/suggestions to the contrary and none were represented in the presentation. If there are many qualitative issues they should be brought up even if they do not support their arguments (if anything, they would show less bias toward their selection if they present all sides in the presentation with pros and cons for each selection rather than just pros for their selection). Giving just one selection rather than showing the best two options and the other supporting information that isn't represented or modeled well in the analysis would give the board a more fair look at the available options rather than just one option that has many flaws.